



Agenda
Housing, Urban Development & Zoning Committee
Meeting
St. Louis Board of Aldermen
Tuesday, March 3, 2026 - 11:00 AM
Kennedy Room

President Megan Green
Alderwoman Shameem Clark-Hubbard, Chair
Alderwoman Alisha Sonnier, Vice Chair
Committee Members:
Alderman Shane Cohn
Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer
Alderwoman Laura Keys
Alderman Michael Browning
Alderman Rasheen Aldridge

Order of Business

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call

III. Approval of Minutes

Minute approval from Tuesday, February 24, 2026, committee meeting.

IV. Board Bills for Review

(The committee will discuss the following and take public comment on the following)

Item Number 1

Board Bill Number 66

Introduced by Shane Cohn

An ordinance amending Chapter 3.160 of the Revised Code of the City of St. Louis, codified by Ordinance 71620, by adding a new section, 3.160.035, to establish definitions and criteria for the impact assessment of redevelopment projects.

Item Number 2

Board Bill Number 155

Introduced by Alderwoman Jami Cox Antwi, Alderman Rasheen Aldridge.

An ordinance approving the petition establishing the Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement District as a political subdivision to remediate blight and encourage redevelopment within the area generally bounded by Cole and Carr Streets to the North, the Mississippi River to the East, Interstate 64 to the South, and Jefferson Avenue to the West ; authorizing the issuance of obligations with maturities of

up to twenty years ; appointing a seven-member Board of Directors ; and mandating the submission of annual budgets and performance reports to the City Register and the Missouri Department of Economic Development.

Item Number 3

Board Bill Number 161

Introduced by Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer, Michael Browning, Shameem Clark-Hubbard

An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending certain ordinances by reappropriating certain amounts specified herein in an aggregate amount of \$6,866,460.48 for water infrastructure replacement and repair; and with an emergency clause.

V. Resolutions for Review

None

VI. Committee Discussions

Item Number 1

Titled "Development Update" by The St. Louis Development Corporation (SLDC).

VII. Acknowledgment of Any Written Testimony

VIII. Announcements

IX. Excused Members

X. Adjournment



Minutes
Housing, Urban Development & Zoning Committee
Tuesday, February 24, 2026
11:00 AM
Kennedy Room

Minutes are preliminary and may change until finally approved

I. Call to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 11:02 AM and directed the Associate Clerk to call the roll.

II. Roll Call

The Chair directed the Associate Clerk to call the roll, and the following members answered to their names: Shane Cohn, Anne Schweitzer, Laura Keys, , Michael Browning, and Shameem Clark-Hubbard. **5 members were present. A quorum was established.**

The following members joined the meeting while it was in progress, making a total of 7 members present: Ms. Sonnier and Mr. Aldridge

III. Approval of Minutes

Approval of minutes from Tuesday, February 17, 2026

The Chair stated they would entertain a motion to approve the minutes of the Tuesday, February 17, 2026, committee meeting.

Mr. Cohn moved to approve the minutes of the Tuesday, February 17, 2026, committee meeting.

Seconded by Ms Schweitzer.

The Chair directed the Associate Clerk to call the roll on the motion to approve the minutes of the Tuesday, February 17, 2026, committee meeting.

The following voted Aye:
Mr. Cohn, Ms. Schweitzer, Ms. Keys, Mr. Browning, and Ms. Clark-Hubbard.
5 Aye votes were cast.

The following voted No:
None

The following abstained:
None

The following were present but did not vote:
None

A total of 5 votes were cast. The motion carried

IV. Board Bills for Review

(The committee will discuss the following and take public comment on the following)

Item Number 1

Board Bill Number 161

Introduced by Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer, Michael Browning, Shameem Clark Hubbard

An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending certain ordinances by reappropriating certain amounts specified herein in an aggregate amount of \$6,866,460.48 for water infrastructure replacement and repair; and with an emergency clause.

The Chair recognized Ms. Schweitzer regarding Board Bill Number 161.

Ms. Schweitzer introduced Katilyn Smith, the Assistant Policy Director of the Mayor's Office, to speak on the bill.

Ms. Smith mentioned that representatives from various departments would be reallocating funds from the ARPA (American Rescue Plan Act) resources.

The speakers present were: Adam Pearson, Department of Human Services, Matt Moak, Community Development Agency, and Marvin Teer, Office of Violence Prevention.

After each presenter, the committee asked questions.

Nick Morrow, with the City Counselor's Office, and Claudine Allen, Program Manager with the Office of Violence Prevention, also answered questions.

After no more speakers from the present speakers, the Chair opened the discussion up to the public. The Chair recognized Ms. Schweitzer regarding Board Bill Number 161.

Ms. Schweitzer introduced Kaitlyn Smith, the Assistant Policy Director of the Mayor's Office, to speak on the bill.

Ms. Smith explained that representatives from various departments would be reallocating funds from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) resources.

The speakers present were Adam Pearson from the Department of Human Services, Matt Moak from the Community Development Agency, and Marvin Teer from the Office of Violence Prevention. After each presentation, the committee asked questions.

Once all the speakers had presented, the Chair opened the discussion to the public.

Public Speakers

1. Abdul Abdullah
2. Farakhan Shegog
3. Leon Threat

After no further comments from the public, the Chair recognized Ms. Smith to present on behalf of the departments that were not present.

After Ms. Smith concluded her remarks, the Chair reopened the discussion for the committee.

The committee asked questions.

After there were no further comments from the committee, the Chair recognized Ms. Schweitzer to close.

Ms. Schweitzer indicated that a vote would not be taken today and that the bill would be held in committee.

V. Resolutions for Review

(The committee will discuss the following and take public comment on the following)

Item Number 1

Resolution Number 239

Introduced by Alderwoman Shameem Clark-Hubbard

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Aldermen of the City of St. Louis that we approve the rules and regulations proposed by the Board of Adjustment.

The Chair handed the meeting over to Vice-Chair Sonnier so she could speak on Resolution Number 239.

The Vice-Chair recognized Ms. Clark-Hubbard on Resolution Number 239.

Ms. Clark-Hubbard provided details about the resolution and introduced Mary Hart-Burton from the Zoning Administration for the Building Division, who would speak further on the Resolution.

Ms. Hart-Burton provided additional information on the Resolution.

After no further comments from the speaker, the Vice-Chair opened the discussion up to the committee.

The committee had no questions.

Mr. Aldridge requested to be added as a Co-Sponsor.

The Vice-Chair recognized Ms. Clark-Hubbard to close.

Ms. Clark-Hubbard asked for a Do Pass Recommendation on Resolution Number 239.

Mr. Aldridge made a motion to pass Resolution Number 239 out of

committee with a Do Pass Recommendation.

Seconded by Mr. Browning.

The Vice -Chair directed the Associate Clerk to call the roll on the motion pass Resolution Number 239 out of committee with a Do Pass Recommendation.

The following voted Aye:

Mr. Cohn, Ms. Schweitzer, Ms. Sonnier, Mr. Browning, Mr. Aldridge, and Ms. Clark-Hubbard. **6 Aye votes were cast.**

The following voted No:

None

The following abstained:

None

The following was present but did not vote:

None

A total of 6 votes were cast. The motion carried.

VI. Committee Discussions

None

VII. Acknowledgment of Any Written Testimony

One written testimony in opposition to Board Bill Number 161.

VIII. Announcements

The Chair stated Board Bill Number 161 will be heard again on March 4, 2026.

IX. Excused Members

All members were present.

X. Adjournment

Having no further business, the Chair stated she would entertain a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Aldridge made a motion to adjourn.

Seconded by Ms. Sonnier

Previous roll requested by Mr. Aldridge

Hearing no objection to the request for previous roll, the Chair stated the meeting was adjourned.

The Associate Clerk recorded the following from the previous roll.

The following voted Aye:

Mr. Cohn, Ms. Schweitzer, Ms. Sonnier, Mr. Browning, Mr. Aldridge, and Ms. Clark-Hubbard. **6 Aye votes were cast.**

The following voted No:

None

The following abstained:

None

The following was present but did not vote:

None

A total of votes were cast. The motion carried.

The Meeting adjourned at 2:10 pm

[MIN_SIGNATURES]

Summary
Board Bill Number 66
Introduced by Alderman Shane Cohn
September 12, 2025

An ordinance amending Chapter 3.160 of the Revised Code of the City of St. Louis, codified by Ordinance 71620, by adding a new section, 3.160.035, to establish definitions and criteria for the impact assessment of redevelopment projects.

**BOARD BILL NUMBER 66 INTRODUCED BY ALDERMAN SHANE COHN
CO SPONSORS: PRESIDENT MEGAN GREEN**

1 An ordinance amending Chapter 3.160 of the Revised Code of the City of St. Louis by adding a
2 new section, 3.160.035, to establish definitions and criteria for the impact assessment of
3 redevelopment projects as required by Ordinance 71620 section 3(A)(e); including a severability
4 clause.

5 **WHEREAS**, the City of St. Louis seeks to ensure that public incentives are used strategically to
6 promote equitable development and community revitalization, particularly in historically
7 disinvested neighborhoods; and

8 **WHEREAS**, the development of a standardized Community Benefits Scorecard will create a
9 transparent, accountable, and data-informed framework for evaluating the public value of
10 proposed projects seeking tax incentives; and

11 **WHEREAS**, aligning redevelopment incentives with measurable community benefits—such as
12 affordable housing, transit access, environmental remediation, and quality jobs—helps ensure
13 that economic growth is inclusive, sustainable, and rooted in the needs of existing residents; and

14 **WHEREAS**, the Community Benefits Scorecard advances the goals set forth in Ordinance
15 71620 by operationalizing the required impact assessment into a clear and publicly accessible
16 tool, guided by the Economic Justice Index or its successor, thereby enabling more equitable and
17 intentional redevelopment across the city.

18 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

19 **SECTION ONE.** Chapter 3.160 of the Revised Code of the City of St. Louis is hereby amended
20 by the addition of a new section, 3.160.035, to be and read as follows

1 3.160.035 - Impact Assessment

2 A. Definitions

3 ***Affordable Housing*** shall mean projects that include affordable housing units, which may be
4 considered for additional points based on the percentage of total units that are considered
5 affordable and the cost of those units. SLDC will review proposals to determine if units meet
6 minimum requirements for square footage and number of beds/baths. Affordable housing targets
7 will be updated regularly based on any changes to the City's affordable housing policies and
8 goals. Projects that are utilizing Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) automatically
9 qualify for tax abatement at the following levels: 10 years at 90% and 15 years at 50%.

10 ***Alignment with Neighborhood Plans*** shall mean projects that include specific elements that
11 align with the approved neighborhood plan. The applicant must identify in their application
12 specific components of the approved neighborhood plan and describe how the project aligns with
13 these components.

14 ***Capital Investment*** shall mean the total amount of Capital investment invested by the applicant
15 to develop the project. This has a direct connection to the value of the property and the long-term
16 potential to generate tax revenue.

17 ***Commercial Corridors*** shall mean key commercial corridors within the City of St. Louis, which
18 are maintained and produced by SLDC and Planning and Urban Design Agency (PDA). Projects
19 located within two blocks of a designated Commercial Corridor and or a part of the Main Streets
20 program shall be considered.

1 **Community Benefits Contribution** shall mean projects that contribute a minimum of \$100,000
2 to the Affordable Housing Trust Fund, Economic Just Fund, or other similar approved public
3 fund.

4 **Economic Justice Index** shall mean a data-driven mapping and evaluation tool developed to
5 guide equitable development in the City of St. Louis by identifying areas of historic
6 disinvestment and opportunity. The Index uses indicators such as vacancy, poverty,
7 unemployment, historical disinvestment, and access to commercial and community assets to
8 prioritize neighborhoods for investment, assign them a tiered designation (EJI-1 through EJI-5),
9 and support transparent, targeted allocation of public resources.

10 **Environmental Remediation** shall mean sites with environmental contamination represent a
11 threat to public health and require additional financial assistance to cover cleanup costs. SLDC
12 staff will evaluate projects on a case-by-case basis.

13 **Environmental Impact** shall mean the extent to which a project incorporates sustainable design
14 principles and green building standards to promote climate resiliency or if a project poses
15 negative environmental implications—such as pollution, increased emissions, or harm to natural
16 ecosystems.

17 **Existing Vacant Building Renovation** shall mean projects that utilize previously vacant
18 buildings.

19 **Geography** shall mean projects located in areas of high need and opportunity that have
20 experienced historic disinvestment. SLDC will utilize a separate mapping tool, such as the
21 Economic Justice Index or similar approved plan, to identify specific geographic areas of the

1 community that are priorities for investment. Each geographic area will have a different point
2 value based on the need for investment.

3 ***Historic Preservation*** shall mean restoration of historic sites is a benefit to the community that
4 often has a higher cost for developers.

5 ***Minority or Women Owned Business*** shall mean applicants that are certified as a minority
6 owned business (MBE) or women business enterprise (WBE).

7 ***Outside Funding***— shall mean any matching dollars that the project has been awarded other than
8 the local incentive.

9 ***Public Infrastructure*** shall mean projects that make additional investments in public
10 infrastructure such as sidewalks, streetscape improvements, public lighting, and transit
11 amenities.

12 ***Quality Jobs*** shall refer to projects in which at least 80% of permanent employees are paid a
13 living wage, as defined and updated annually pursuant to Chapter 3.99 of the Revised Code of
14 the City of St. Louis.

15 ***Transit access*** shall mean projects located near public transit stops to encourage greater
16 accessibility and provide affordable transportation options for residents and workers.

17 ***Utilization of LRA properties*** shall mean the Land Reutilization Authority (LRA) receives title
18 to all tax delinquent properties not sold at the Sheriff's sale and through donations.

19 B. Impact Assessment.

20 The Saint Louis Development Corporation (“SLDC”) shall establish and make publicly available
21 the standardized assessment tool—such as a scorecard, checklist, or similar report— used to

1 conduct impact assessments pursuant to section 3.160.030 (3)(A)(e), which shall be based on the
2 Economic Justice Index (EJI) or its successor.

3 This impact assessment shall apply to Residential, Commercial, and Mixed-Use (Residential and
4 Commercial) projects. The resulting score will determine whether the project qualifies for any
5 incentives, the term, and amount the project may receive.

6 Each project will be evaluated using a standardized set of indicators which shall include but shall
7 not be limited to the following indicators: (1) Amount of capital investment, (2) investment into
8 public infrastructure, transit access, (3) Economic Justice Index designation, (4) Use of Minority
9 or Women owned businesses, (5) historic building preservation, (6) outside matching funds, (7)
10 environmental remediation and impact, (8) existing vacant building renovation, (9) utilization of
11 LRA property, (10) Community benefit contributions, (11) alignment with neighborhood plans,
12 (12) location along a commercial corridor, (13) inclusion of affordable housing, and (14)
13 environmental impact.

14 SLDC shall include this assessment tool within the redevelopment proposal as outlined in
15 ordinance 71620.

16 Prior to the adoption of the scorecard, SLDC shall provide the draft document to the HUDZ
17 committee for approval or modifications.

18 **SECTION TWO.** Severability clause

19 If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of the Ordinance is held invalid or
20 unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate,
21 distinct and independent provisions, and such holding shall not affect the validity of the
22 remaining portions thereof.

ORDINANCE 71620

**BOARD BILL NUMBER 64 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE AS AMENDED
INTRODUCED BY ALDERMAN SHANE COHN/ ALDERMAN DAN GUENTHER/
ALDERMAN BILL STEPHENS/ ALDERWOMAN MEGAN GREEN**

1 An Ordinance approving policies and procedures in connection with the consideration of
2 development proposals; and containing severability and emergency clauses.

3 **WHEREAS**, under Missouri law, development proposals seeking tax incentives, rezoning,
4 variances or other development approvals (as further defined herein, the “Development
5 Proposals”) in The City of St. Louis (the “City”) are, depending on the nature of such proposals,
6 considered by the Board of Aldermen of the City (the “Board of Aldermen”) or various agencies,
7 boards, authorities, commissions, instrumentalities, and other bodies affiliated with the City
8 (collectively with the Board of Aldermen and as further defined herein, the “Decision-Making
9 Bodies”); and

10 **WHEREAS**, the consideration of Development Proposals by the Decision-Making Bodies
11 is necessary for the orderly operation of local government and the physical and economic well-
12 being of the City; and

13 **WHEREAS**, the Board of Aldermen desires that the policies and procedures for the
14 consideration of Development Proposals by any Decision-Making Body be transparent,
15 standardized and intended to produce a result in the best interest of the City as a whole; and

16 **WHEREAS**, the Board of Aldermen hereby finds and determines that it is desirable to
17 adopt this Ordinance for the purpose of establishing transparent, standardized and beneficial
18 policies and procedures for the consideration of Development Proposals.

19 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

**Page 1 of 13
Board Bill Number 64
Committee Substitute As Amended
Cohn
June 24, 2022**

1 **SECTION ONE. Definitions.** In addition to the words and terms defined elsewhere in
2 this Ordinance, capitalized words and terms and shall have the following meanings in this
3 Ordinance:

4 (a) “Aldersperson” means a member of the Board of Aldermen, including the President
5 of the Board of Aldermen.

6 (b) “Aldersperson’s Staff” means an employee of the Board of the Aldermen assigned
7 to assist an Aldersperson.

8 (c) “Applicant” means the developer or property owner that has applied for or
9 requested approval of the Development Proposal.

10 (d) “Board of Aldermen” means the legislative body of the City as established
11 pursuant to Article IV of the City Charter.

12 (e) “Board of Estimate and Apportionment” means the Board of Estimate and
13 Apportionment of the City as established pursuant to Article XVI of the City Charter.

14 (f) “Business Retention/Attraction Project” means Development Proposals related to
15 the retention or attraction of businesses, jobs and investment that are part of a competitive site-
16 selection process with other jurisdictions or otherwise require the City or SLDC to review or
17 evaluate confidential information as part of due diligence into a prospective project.

18 (g) “City” means The City of St. Louis, Missouri.

19 (i) “City Code” means the Revised Code of the City of Saint Louis, 2020, Annotated,
20 as may be amended from time to time.

21 (j) “Conflict of Interest Disclosure Certification” means the certification described in
22 subsection (d) of Section Three of this Ordinance and substantially in the form of **Exhibit A**

1 attached hereto or as may be updated from time to time by each Decision-Making Bodies' legal
2 counsel.

3 (k) "Decision-Making Body" or "Decision-Making Bodies" means an agency, board,
4 commission, instrumentality or other body that has jurisdiction by law or ordinance to approve,
5 disapprove, recommend for approval or recommend against approval of a Development Proposal,
6 including, without limitation, the Board of Aldermen, the Planning Commission, the Affordable
7 Housing Commission, the EEZ Board, the LCRA, the PIEA, the Port Authority and the TIF
8 Commission.

9 (l) "Development Proposal" means an application or proposal filed with the City,
10 SLDC or any Decision-Making Body seeking approval of Tax Incentives, Preliminary Approvals,
11 or Site-Specific Development Approvals.

12 (m) "Development Proposal Report" means a report relating to a specific Development
13 Proposal meeting the requirements set forth in Section Three of this ordinance.

14 (n) "EEZ Board" means the Enhanced Enterprise Zone Board of the City of St. Louis,
15 Missouri.

16 (o) "Fourth Degree of Consanguinity" means parents, grandparents, great-
17 grandparents, great- great-grandparents, spouse, children, siblings, grandchildren, great-
18 grandchildren, great-great- grandchildren, nieces or nephews, grand-nieces or grand-nephews,
19 aunts or uncles, great-aunts or great-uncles, and first cousins by virtue of a blood relationship or
20 marriage.

21 (p) "HUDZ Committee" means the Housing, Urban Development and Zoning
22 Committee of the Board of Aldermen, or if the Housing, Urban Development and Zoning

1 Committee no longer exists, the committee of the Board of Aldermen with the primary
2 responsibility for reviewing board bills and resolutions associated with Tax Incentives.

3 (q) “LCRA” means the Land Clearance for Redevelopment Authority of the City of St.
4 Louis.

5 (r) “LRA” means The Land Reutilization Authority of the City of St. Louis, Missouri.

6 (s) “Material Change” means modifications on the use of eminent domain, to the length
7 of tax abatement, to the boundaries of the Area, or any other modification which will substantially
8 change the general nature of the project such as the addition of a residential, commercial or
9 industrial component to the project.

10 (t) “PIEA” means the Planned Industrial Expansion Authority of the City of St. Louis.

11 (u) “Planning Commission” means the City’s Planning Commission described in
12 Chapter 3.48 of the City Code.

13 (v) “Port Authority” means the City of St. Louis Port Authority.

14 (w) “Preliminary Approval” means legislative action granting other decision making
15 bodies the discretion to award incentives without further legislative action. This includes but is not
16 limited to legislative approval of a Chapter 99 Redevelopment Plan, Blighting Study, or Chapter
17 353 Plan.

18 (x) “Site-Specific Development Approvals” means any rezoning, subdivision,
19 conditional use permit, variance or other approval requested of a Decision-Making Body relating
20 to a single parcel or grouping of parcels proposed to be developed as a unified project by the
21 applicant for such Site-Specific Development Approval. Site-Specific Development Approvals
22 expressly do not include health permits, building permits, and other approvals granted by civil

1 service city employees. Additionally, Site-Specific Development Approvals do not include
2 generally-applicable laws and regulations or approvals relating to district or neighborhood-wide
3 planning and redevelopment efforts.

4 (y) “SLDC” means the St. Louis Development Corporation or such successor
5 organization or organizations that review Development Proposals for Tax Incentives.

6 (z) “State Ethic Laws” means the laws of the State of Missouri governing conflicts of
7 interests and prohibited actions by elected officials, appointed officials and employees of the City
8 and other political subdivisions, including, but not limited to, Sections 105.452 and 105.454 of the
9 Revised Statutes of Missouri.

10 (aa) “Sunshine Law” means Chapter 610 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri and any
11 other state or federal law pertaining to the public disclosure of records possessed or maintained by
12 the City or any Decision-Making Body.

13 (ab) “Tax Incentives” means real or personal property tax abatement, tax increment
14 financing, or construction material sales tax exemption.

15 (ac) “TIF Commission” means the Tax Increment Financing Commission of the City of
16 St. Louis, Missouri.

17 **SECTION TWO. Transparency.**

18 a. All meetings of Decision-Making Bodies, except such meetings that have been closed
19 pursuant to the Sunshine Law, shall be livestreamed and recordings and minutes of such
20 meetings shall be made publicly available on a website maintained or otherwise utilized by
21 the City or SLDC. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any interruption, delay or other
22 technical difficulty that impacts the livestreaming or recording of the meeting shall not

1 impact the validity of any actions taken at such meeting so long as the meeting is otherwise
2 publicly accessible and complies with the provisions of the Sunshine Law. In the event of
3 any interruption, delay or other technical difficulty in the livestreaming or recording of a
4 meeting, the impacted Decision-Making Body shall make good faith efforts to resolve the
5 issue promptly and alert the public of the technical difficulties through chatbox or similar
6 feature available on the livestreaming system.

7 b. SLDC shall prepare an annual compliance report addressed to the Mayor and the Board of
8 Aldermen, and present such report at a public meeting of the Housing, Urban Development
9 & Zoning Committee or its equivalent prior to the end of each fiscal year. The annual report
10 shall detail SLDC's efforts to comply with the provisions of ordinance and shall be
11 published online within 48 hours of its submission.

12 c. All incentive applications filed with the St. Louis Development Corporation, excepting
13 those attached to confidential Business Retention/Attraction Projects, shall be open to the
14 public under Missouri's Sunshine Law (RSMO 610).

15 **SECTION THREE. Incentive Review Policy and Procedures.**

16 (a) No Board Bill enacting or approving a Development Proposal requesting a Tax
17 Incentive shall be filed without an accompanying Development Proposal Report
18 prepared by SLDC.

19 i) The Development Proposal Report shall contain, to the extent available, the
20 following information:

21 a. a memorandum including (A) a description of the Development
22 Proposal, (B) information regarding the Applicant, including, without

1 limitation, the Applicant's past development experience in the City and
2 elsewhere, (C) whether the requested Development Proposal is for a
3 preliminary or final approval (and if preliminary, what remaining
4 approvals would be needed to implement the project described in the
5 Development Proposal), and (D) a recommendation from SLDC or
6 departmental staff to the Decision-Making Body as to whether the
7 Decision Making-Body should approve or disapprove the Development
8 Proposal, the amount and duration of an approved Tax Incentive, and
9 the rationale and contingencies for its recommendation;

10 b. a summary of all known attempts to gather public input, including,
11 without limitation, statutory public hearings and neighborhood
12 association meetings, consultation with affected tax districts, and a
13 description of any additional opportunities for public input (for
14 example, if approval of a specific Development Proposal requires
15 additional public hearings under City ordinance or state law);

16 i. For proposed developments with a total estimated development
17 cost larger than Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000), the applicant
18 shall solicit public input prior to submitting in a manner
19 acceptable to SLDC and SLDC shall incorporate that public
20 input into its Development Proposal Report.

21 ii. If the Development Proposal requests real or personal property
22 tax abatements or tax increment financing and relates to a project

1 expected to cost at least One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000)
2 SLDC shall consult with Saint Louis Public Schools and the
3 Development Proposal Report shall indicate if a position has
4 been taken by Saint Louis Public Schools in support or
5 opposition of the proposal.

6 c. if the Development Proposal is part of a Business Retention/Attraction
7 Project, information, to extent publicly available, regarding the site
8 selection process;

9 d. if the Development Proposal requests Tax Incentives and relates to a
10 project expected to cost at least One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000),
11 SLDC staff shall provide an overview and economic analysis of the
12 requested Tax Incentives including: i) a but for analysis of the
13 developer's projected return with and without proposed incentives and
14 with and without proposed community benefits; and ii) a fiscal impact
15 analysis of tax revenues accruing to the City, St. Louis Public Schools,
16 and other taxing districts, as well as any net new costs created by the
17 proposed development.

18 e. An impact assessment regarding community benefits associated with
19 the Development Proposal and its impact on economic equity and
20 sustainability (which may be in the form a scorecard, checklist or similar
21 standardized report);

22 f. copies of any Letters of Support from taxing districts, unions, or elected

1 officials. Letters of Support from Alderpersons must meet the
2 requirements of this Ordinance; and

3 g. the name, phone number and email address of an SLDC or departmental
4 staff person that members of the Decision-Making Body can contact for
5 additional information or questions regarding the Development
6 Proposal; and

7 h. signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Certifications by SLDC and any
8 departmental staff persons involved in preparing the Development
9 Proposal Report.

10 ii) Notwithstanding the foregoing, Development Proposal Reports for
11 preliminary approvals or for Business Retention/Attraction Projects may
12 not include all of the above-required information if such information is not
13 yet available, complete or permitted to be publicly released. However, the
14 persons preparing the Development Proposal Reports shall endeavor to
15 prepare as complete of a Development Proposal Report as the circumstances
16 permit and shall indicate in the Development Proposal Report the reason or
17 rationale why any of the above-described components are not included in
18 the specific Development Proposal Report.

19 iii) When a draft Board Bill for introduction of a Development Proposal is
20 submitted to the Clerk, the Development Proposal Report will be included
21 as an exhibit.

22 (b) SLDC shall develop, maintain, and apply standardized redevelopment agreement

1 provisions:

2 i. For all tax incentive projects, such provisions shall establish:

3 a. Reporting standards requiring applicants to submit verified project
4 construction costs, total development costs, and sources of funding for
5 the Project.

6 b. Monitoring compliance, in coordination with applicable City and
7 SLDC departments, of all aspects of a redevelopment agreement and
8 generally applicable City Code provisions including without limitation,
9 minority contracting, workforce, prevailing wage and property
10 maintenance provisions.

11 c. Enforcement policies providing that failure to fulfill the following
12 conditions, or any other failure of the applicant to perform under the
13 applicable redevelopment agreement, shall constitute a default,
14 requiring the applicant to forfeit future tax incentives:

15 1. Failure to fulfill community benefit commitments

16 2. Failure to comply with the requirements of Ordinance Nos.
17 60275, 69427, 70767 and 71094, as may be amended or
18 supplemented, pertaining to first source hiring, minority-owned
19 and women-owned business participation, workforce
20 development, and prevailing wage compliance.

1 3. Following project completion, for the duration of the incentive
2 period, failure to maintain property up to code if not cured within
3 30 days.

4 4. Failure to comply with the accessibility requirements of 24 CFR
5 8.22, which, in addition to specific protections for individuals
6 with mobility and vision impairments, requires multifamily
7 housing projects to be designed and constructed to be readily
8 accessible to and usable by individuals with handicaps.

9 d. Enforcement policies subjecting the applicant to such claw-backs as
10 SLDC may require in order to hold Applicants accountable for
11 misleading representations or material changes (i.e. verified
12 construction costs significantly below projected construction costs in
13 the application for tax incentives)

14 e. A revenue protection policy indexing the tax abatement base and
15 payments in lieu of taxes to inflation to ensure that real revenue does
16 not fall as a result of tax abatements.

17 (c) SLDC may develop and maintain different standardized redevelopment agreement
18 provisions depending on the type of Tax Incentive and the size and location of the
19 applicable project. If any Development Proposal includes a request to deviate from
20 standardized redevelopment agreement provisions, such differences shall be
21 identified in the Development Proposal Report.

22 (d) SLDC shall, at least once per calendar year, hold a publicly accessible training

1 seminar regarding its requirements and policies that govern redevelopment
2 agreements.

3 (e) If the approval of a Tax Incentive is determined by a Decision-Making Body other
4 than the Board of Aldermen, the applicable Decision-Making Body shall not grant
5 final approval of a Tax Incentive until the Development Proposal Report relating
6 to the Tax Incentive is transmitted, by electronic mail, to all members of the Board
7 of Aldermen. If the members of the Board of Aldermen wish to recommend against
8 a Tax Incentive within 30 days after the applicable Development Proposal Report
9 is transmitted to them, then written notice of such recommendation shall be
10 provided to the applicable Decision-Making Body. Notwithstanding the foregoing,
11 nothing in this Section is intended to limit a Decision-Making Body's authority to
12 adopt further approvals as are necessary to implement previously approved
13 Development Proposals and to approve amendments to plans, agreements and other
14 documents, as permitted by state law, so long as such amendments do not (A)
15 increase the amount or duration of any Tax Incentive, (B) expand the boundaries of
16 any redevelopment area or district, (C) contemplate using eminent domain in a
17 manner or on a property not disclosed as part of the original Development Proposal,
18 or (D) materially change the general nature of the underlying project.

19 (f) No board bill or resolution relating to a Development Proposal may be sponsored
20 by a member of the Board of Aldermen unless the applicable Alderperson files a
21 Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Certification with the Clerk of the Board of
22 Aldermen prior to the introduction of the board bill or resolution or being added as

1 an additional sponsor to the applicable board bill or resolution. The Conflict-of-
2 Interest Disclosure Certification may be signed manually or electronically by the
3 Alderperson.

4 **SECTION FOUR. Severability.** If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or
5 portion of this Ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional, or unlawful for any reason, by
6 any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed and is hereby declared to be a
7 separate, distinct and independent provision of this Ordinance, and such holding or holdings shall
8 not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

9 **SECTION FIVE. Emergency Clause.** The immediate application of this Ordinance to
10 Development Approvals is necessary to ensure that Decision-Making Bodies do not inadvertently
11 approve Development Proposals harmful to the public peace, health of safety. Accordingly, this
12 Ordinance is hereby declared an emergency measure under the terms and provisions of Article IV,
13 Sections 19 and 20 of the Charter of the City of St. Louis and shall take effect immediately upon
14 its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Board Bill Number 64
Committee Substitute As Amended
Exhibit A: Form of Conflict of Interest Disclosure Certification

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Certification

[Board Bill No. _____/Resolution No. _____]

Capitalized terms used in this certification shall have the meanings set forth in Ordinance No. _____ [Board Bill No. 64].

I, _____, the duly-elected Alderperson for the _____ ward have reviewed Ordinance No. _____ [Board Bill No. 64] and the applicable State Ethics Laws in connection with my sponsorship of [Board Bill No. ___/Resolution No.____]. I hereby confirm that I have no conflict of interest in the approvals supported in this letter and will not receive any special monetary benefit from the approvals supported in this letter. I hereby confirm and certify, to the best of my knowledge, that my sponsorship of [Board Bill No. ___/Resolution No.____] does not violate Ordinance No. _____ [Board Bill No.64] and the applicable State Ethics Laws.

Alderperson, ___ ward

Summary

Board Bill Number 155

Introduced by Alderwoman Jami Cox Antwi

February 13, 2026

An ordinance approving the petition establishing the Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement District as a political subdivision to remediate blight and encourage redevelopment within the area generally bounded by Cole and Carr Streets to the North, the Mississippi River to the East, Interstate 64 to the South, and Jefferson Avenue to the West ; authorizing the issuance of obligations with maturities of up to twenty years ; appointing a seven-member Board of Directors ; and mandating the submission of annual budgets and performance reports to the City Register and the Missouri Department of Economic Development.

**BOARD BILL NUMBER 155 INTRODUCED BY ALDERWOMAN JAMI COX ANTWI
COSPONSOR: ALDERMAN RASHEEN ALDRIDGE**

1 An Ordinance Approving The Petition To Establish A Community Improvement District,
2 Establishing The Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement
3 District, Finding A Public Purpose For The Establishment Of The Downtown St. Louis Sports
4 And Entertainment Community Improvement District, And Containing A Severability Clause.

5 **WHEREAS**, the City is a body corporate and a political subdivision of the State of
6 Missouri, duly created, organized and existing under and by virtue of its charter, the
7 Constitution, and laws of the State of Missouri; and

8 **WHEREAS**, Section 67.1400 *et seq.*, RSMo, (the “CID Act”) authorizes the Board of
9 Aldermen to approve the petitions of property owners to establish a Community Improvement
10 District; and

11 **WHEREAS**, a petition has been filed with the City, requesting formation and
12 establishment of The Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement
13 District (the “CID”), to be formed as an “entertainment district” (as defined in Section 67.1421
14 of the Act) and signed by the authorized representatives of the owners of more than fifty percent
15 by assessed value of the property located within the proposed boundaries of the CID (the
16 “Petition”); and

17 **WHEREAS**, the Register of the City of St. Louis did review and determine that the
18 Petition substantially complies with the requirements of the CID Act; and

19 **WHEREAS**, this Board of Aldermen hereby finds that the adoption of this ordinance is
20 in the best interest of the City of St. Louis and that the owners of real property located within the
21 CID, as well as the City as a whole, will benefit from the establishment of the CID and the other
22 transactions described herein.

1 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS, AS FOLLOWS:**

2 **SECTION ONE.**

3 1. A community improvement district, to be known as “The Downtown St. Louis
4 Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement District” (hereinafter referred to as the
5 “CID”), is hereby established pursuant to the CID Act on certain real property described below
6 to provide certain services, or contract with a private property owner to provide certain services,
7 and construct, reconstruct, install, repair, maintain and/or equip various public improvements,
8 and carry out other functions as set forth in the Petition, which is attached hereto as **Appendix A**
9 and incorporated herein by this reference.

10 2. The CID boundaries are set forth in the Petition and are generally described as
11 follows: Generally bounded by Cole St. and Carr St. to the North, the Mississippi River to the
12 East, Interstate 64 to the South, and Jefferson Avenue to the West.

13 **SECTION THREE.** The CID is authorized by the CID Act, at any time, to issue
14 obligations, or to enter into agreements with other entities with the authority to issue obligations,
15 for the purpose of carrying out any of its powers, duties, or purposes. Such obligations shall be
16 payable out of all, part or any combination of the revenues of the CID and may be further
17 secured by all or any part of any property or any interest in any property by mortgage or any
18 other security interest granted. Such obligations shall be authorized by resolution of the CID,
19 and if issued by the CID, shall bear such date or dates, and shall mature at such time or times, but
20 not more than twenty (20) years from the date of issuance, as the resolution shall specify. Such
21 obligations shall be in such denomination, bear interest at such rate or rates, be in such form, be
22 payable in such place or places, be subject to redemption as such resolution may provide and be
23 sold at either public or private sale at such prices as the CID shall determine subject to the

1 provisions of Section 108.170, RSMo. The CID is also authorized to issue such obligations to
2 refund, in whole or part, obligations previously issued by the CID.

3 **SECTION FOUR.**

4 1. Pursuant to the Petition, the CID shall be in the form of a political subdivision of
5 the State of Missouri, known as “The Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment
6 Community Improvement District.”

7 2. Pursuant to Section 67.1471 of the CID Act, the fiscal year for the CID shall be
8 the same as the fiscal year for the City of St. Louis.

9 3. No earlier than one hundred and eighty (180) days and no later than ninety (90)
10 days prior to the first day of each fiscal year, the CID shall submit to the Board of Aldermen a
11 proposed annual budget for the CID, setting forth expected expenditures and revenues for such
12 fiscal year. The Board of Aldermen may review and comment on this proposed budget, but if
13 such comments are given, the Board of Aldermen shall provide such written comments no later
14 than sixty (60) days prior to the first day of the relevant fiscal year; such comments shall not
15 constitute requirements, but shall only be recommendations.

16 4. The CID shall hold an annual meeting and adopt an annual budget no later than
17 thirty (30) days prior to the first day of each fiscal year.

18 **SECTION FIVE.** The CID is authorized to use the funds of the CID for any of the
19 improvements, services or other activities authorized under the CID Act.

20 **SECTION SIX.** Pursuant to the CID Act, the CID shall have all of the powers necessary
21 to carry out and effectuate the purposes of the CID and the CID Act as set forth in the CID Act.

1 **SECTION SEVEN.** The City of St. Louis hereby finds that the uses of the CID proceeds
2 as provided for in the Petition will serve a public purpose by remediating blight and encouraging
3 the redevelopment of real property within the CID.

4 **SECTION EIGHT.** Within one hundred twenty (120) days after the end of each fiscal
5 year, the CID shall submit a report to the Register of the City and the Missouri Department of
6 Economic Development stating the services provided, revenues collected and expenditures made
7 by the CID during such fiscal year, and copies of written resolutions approved by the board of
8 directors of the CID during the fiscal year. The Register shall retain this report as part of the
9 official records of the City and shall also cause this report to be spread upon the records of the
10 Board of Aldermen, pursuant to Section 67.1471 of the CID Act.

11 **SECTION NINE.** The term for the existence of the CID shall be as set forth in the
12 Petition, as may be amended from time to time, or as such term may be otherwise modified in
13 accordance with the CID Act.

14 **SECTION TEN.** Pursuant to the CID Act, the Board of Aldermen shall not decrease the
15 level of publicly funded services in the CID existing prior to the creation of the CID or transfer
16 the burden of providing the services to the CID unless the services at the same time are
17 decreased throughout the City, nor shall the Board of Aldermen discriminate in the provision of
18 the publicly funded services between areas included in the CID and areas not so included.

19 **SECTION ELEVEN.** The Register shall report in writing the creation of The Downtown
20 St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community Improvement District to the Missouri
21 Department of Economic Development.

22 **SECTION TWELVE.** The Petition provides that the CID shall be governed by a Board
23 of Directors consisting of seven individual directors (collectively the “Directors” and each a

1 “Director”), such Directors to be appointed by the Mayor of the City with the consent of the
2 Board of Aldermen, in accordance with the CID Act and the qualifications set forth in the
3 Petition. By her approval of this ordinance, the Mayor does hereby appoint the following named
4 individuals as Directors of the CID for the terms set forth below, and by adoption of this
5 ordinance, the Board of Aldermen hereby consents to such appointments:

6	<u>Name</u>	<u>Term</u>
7	Ryan McClure	2 years
8	Ronald Kruszewski	2 years
9	Brad Dean	2 years
10	James Mann	2 years
11	Robert O’Loughlin	4 years
12	William DeWitt Jr.	4 years
13	Chris Zimmerman	4 years

14 **SECTION THIRTEEN.** The Mayor and Comptroller of the City or his or her
15 designated representatives are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions to
16 execute and deliver for and on behalf of the City any and all additional certificates, documents,
17 agreements or other instruments as may be necessary and appropriate in order to carry out the
18 matters herein authorized, with no such further action of the Board of Alderman necessary to
19 authorize such action by the Mayor or Comptroller or his or her designated representatives.

20 **SECTION FOURTEEN.** The Mayor and Comptroller and his or her designated
21 representatives, with the advice and concurrence of the City Counselor, are hereby further
22 authorized and directed to make any changes to the documents, agreements and instruments
23 approved and authorized by this Ordinance as may be consistent with the intent of this Ordinance

1 and necessary and appropriate in order to carry out the matters herein authorized, with no such
2 further action of the Board of Alderman necessary to authorize such changes by the Mayor or
3 Comptroller or his or her designated representatives.

4 **SECTION FIFTEEN.** If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of
5 this ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional, or unlawful for any reason, by any court
6 of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed and is hereby declared to be a separate,
7 distinct and independent provision of this ordinance, and such holding or holdings shall not
8 affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance.

APPENDIX A

Petition to Establish The Downtown St. Louis Sports And Entertainment Community
Improvement District

On file with City Register

BOARD BILL NUMBER 155
FISCAL NOTE

Preparer's Name Cheryl Campbell

Phone Number or Email Address (will be available publicly) campbellch@stlouis-mo.gov

Bill Sponsor Alderwoman Jami Cox Antwi

Bill Synopsis:	<i>This ordinance approves the petition establishing the Downtown St. Louis Sports and Entertainment Community Improvement District (CID) as a political subdivision pursuant to state statute to remediate blight and encourage redevelopment within a defined geographic area. The ordinance authorizes the CID to issue obligations payable from CID revenues, appoints a seven-member board of directors, and requires submission of annual budgets and reports to the City Register and the Missouri Department of Economic Development. The ordinance further provides that publicly funded service levels within the district shall not be reduced except under citywide reductions.</i>
Type of Impact:	<i>Indeterminate administrative impact and future service commitment; no direct appropriation identified in ordinance language.</i>
Agencies Affected:	<i>Office of the Mayor; Comptroller; City Register; Board of Aldermen.</i>

SECTION A

Does this bill authorize:

- An expansion of services which entails additional costs beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- An undertaking of a new service for which no funding is provided in the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- A commitment of city funding in the future under certain specified conditions? ___ X Yes ___ No

- An issuance of bonds, notes and lease-purchase agreements which may require additional funding beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? ___Yes ___XNo
- An execution or initiation of an activity as a result of federal or state mandates or requirements? ___Yes ___XNo
- A capital improvement project that increases operating costs over the current adopted city budget? ___Yes ___XNo
- A capital improvement project that requires funding not approved in the current adopted city budget or that will require funding in future years? ___Yes ___XNo

If the answer is yes to any of the above questions, then a fiscal note must be attached to the board bill. Complete Section B of the form below.

SECTION B

- Does the bill require the construction of any new physical facilities? ___Yes ___XNo

- If yes, describe the facilities and provide the estimated cost:

- Is the bill estimated to have a direct fiscal impact on any city department or office? ___X___Yes ___No

- If yes, explain the impact and the estimated cost:

The ordinance assigns administrative and reporting interface responsibilities to City officials, including retention of annual CID reports, review of submitted CID budgets, and execution of related documentation. Available ordinance language does not support quantification of departmental cost or staffing impacts. Resulting workload effects are therefore indeterminate and expected to be accommodated within existing departmental resources.

- Does the bill create a program or administrative subdivision? ___X___Yes ___No

- If yes, then is there a similar existing program or administrative subdivision?

___X___Yes ___No

- If yes, explain the how the proposed programs or administrative subdivisions may overlap:

The ordinance establishes a Community Improvement District consistent with other districts authorized under state statute. City administrative interaction with the CID is expected to follow established practices applied to comparable districts and is not anticipated to create duplicative City administrative structures.

- Describe the annual operating, equipment, and maintenance costs that would result from the proposed bill, as well as any funding sources:

The ordinance does not identify operating, equipment, or maintenance costs to be borne by the City. Administrative activities required by the ordinance are anticipated to occur within existing resources. Potential fiscal implications related to maintaining publicly funded service levels within the district area cannot be quantified based on ordinance language and are indeterminate.

Complete the chart below to list the total estimated expenditures required of the City resulting from the proposed board bill and any estimated savings or additional revenue.

Financial Estimate of Impact on General Fund			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Additional Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Financial Estimate of Impact on Special Funds			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0
Additional Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0

- Describe any assumptions used in preparing this fiscal note:

This fiscal note evaluates impacts based on information contained in the ordinance and assumes the CID operates as an independent political subdivision funded through its authorized revenues. Available ordinance language does not support quantification of departmental cost or staffing impacts. Administrative responsibilities assigned to City officials are assumed to be performed within existing resources. The analysis further assumes the ordinance does not create a City guarantee or backing of CID obligations.

- List any sources of information (including any City officials, agencies, or departments) used in preparing this fiscal note:

*Board Bill establishing the Downtown St. Louis Sports and Entertainment Community Improvement District;
Missouri Community Improvement District Act (RSMo 67.1400 et seq.);
City fiscal note ordinance requirements.*

- Have the financial estimates of this bill been verified by the City Budget Division?
 Yes No
 - If yes, by whom? _____ .

Summary
Board Bill Number 161
Introduced By Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer
February 13, 2026

An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending certain ordinances by reappropriating certain amounts specified herein in an aggregate amount of **\$6,866,460.48** for water infrastructure replacement and repair; and with an emergency clause.

**BOARD BILL NUMBER 161 INTRODUCED BY ALDERWOMAN ANNE SCHWEITZER
COSPONSORS: ALDERMAN MICHAEL BROWNING/ALDERWOMAN SHAMEEM CLARK-HUBBARD**

1 An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending Ordinance
2 Number 71393, Ordinance Number 71554, Ordinance Number 71555, Ordinance Number
3 71591, Ordinance Number 71592, Ordinance Number 71650, Ordinance Number 71840, and
4 Ordinance Number 71864 by reappropriating certain amounts specified herein in an aggregate
5 amount of **\$6,866,460.48** for water infrastructure replacement and repair; and with an emergency
6 clause.

7 **WHEREAS**, the City appropriated American Rescue Plan Act (“ARPA”) State and Local Fiscal
8 Relief (“SLFRF”) funds in several ordinances including Ordinance Number 71393, Ordinance
9 71554, Ordinance Number 71555, Ordinance Number 71591, Ordinance Number 71592,
10 Ordinance Number 71650, Ordinance Number 71840, and Ordinance Number 71864; and

11 **WHEREAS**, some of those funds have not been spent, and the City seeks to use them for
12 different purposes.

13 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

14 **SECTION ONE. Ordinance Number 71393** is amended by reappropriating the following
15 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: One Hundred Thousand Two Hundred Twenty
16 and 0/100ths Dollars (\$100,220.00) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for
17 Case Management and Program Operations; Three Hundred Seventy-Seven Thousand Eight
18 Hundred Eighty-Two and 84/100ths Dollars (\$377,882.84) of the funds that were appropriated in
19 that ordinance for Mortgage Assistance; Twenty-Five Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$25,000)
20 of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Emergency Shelter; Twenty-Nine and
21 70/100ths Dollars (\$29.70) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Wrap

1 Around Services; Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-Three and 72/100ths Dollars
2 (\$14,573.72) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Targeted Cash Assistance;
3 Six Hundred Ninety Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Six and 71/100ths Dollars (\$690,436.71) of
4 the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Direct Support Care Workers; Seventy-
5 Nine and 24/100ths Dollars (\$79.24) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for
6 Community Health Workers; Six Thousand Three Hundred and 00/100ths Dollars (\$6,300.00) of
7 the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Mobile Vaccination Clinics; 68/100ths
8 Dollars (\$.68) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Vaccination Education
9 and Marketing; Two Hundred Sixty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Six and 62/100ths
10 (\$262,676.62) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Behavioral Health
11 Providers; and Forty-Four Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-Five and 00/100ths Dollars
12 (\$44,385.00) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Child Support Arrears for
13 Individuals Entering the Workforce. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and
14 set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on Exhibit A.

15 **SECTION TWO. Ordinance Number 71554** is amended by reappropriating the following
16 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds 70/100ths (\$.70) of the funds appropriated in that
17 ordinance for the Reproductive Equity Fund-Community Needs. All of such Sources of Funds
18 hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on Exhibit A.

19 **SECTION THREE. Ordinance Number 71555** is amended by reappropriating the following
20 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Six Hundred Eighty Thousand and 00/100ths
21 Dollars (\$680,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Summer and Year Round
22 Youth Programming. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
23 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

1 **SECTION FOUR. Ordinance Number 71591** is amended by reappropriating the following
2 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Nine Hundred Sixty Thousand and 00/100ths
3 (\$960,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Youth and Juvenile Diversion
4 Programming; One Hundred Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-Eight and 36/100ths
5 Dollars (\$113,858.36) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Behavioral Health; Four
6 Hundred Forty-Five Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$445,000.00) of the funds appropriated in
7 that ordinance for Early Childhood Education; and Seven Thousand One Hundred Thirty-Five
8 and 42/100ths Dollars (\$7,135.42) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Animal Care
9 and Control. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of
10 Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

11 **SECTION FIVE. Ordinance Number 71592** is amended by reappropriating the following
12 amount from the specified Sources of Funds: Three Hundred Ninety Thousand and 00/100ths
13 Dollars (\$390,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Privately-owned Property
14 Stabilization; Three Hundred Thirty-Five Thousand Two Hundred Thirty-Eight and 82/100ths
15 Dollars (\$335,238.82) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Affordable Housing
16 Production and Preservation; Four Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Four
17 and 00/100ths Dollars (\$437,994.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for the Proactive
18 Development Fund; Eight Hundred Fifty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-Two and
19 67/100ths Dollars (\$857,592.67) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Neighborhood
20 Beautification; and Two Hundred Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$200,000.00) of the funds
21 appropriated in that ordinance for Pell Grant Eligible College Student Assistance. All of such
22 Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit**
23 **A.**

1 **SECTION SIX. Ordinance Number 71650** is amended by reappropriating the following
2 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Sixty Thousand One Hundred Eighty-One and
3 00/100ths Dollars (\$60,181.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Workplace
4 Modernization and Enhancements; and Seven Hundred Eighty-Four Thousand Four Hundred
5 Thirty and 00/100ths Dollars (\$784,430.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for
6 Refuse Pilot Project. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
7 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

8 **SECTION SEVEN. Ordinance Number 71840** is amended by reappropriating the following
9 amounts from the specified Source of Funds: Ten Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$10,000) of
10 the funds appropriated in that ordinance for the Impacted Tenants Fund. All of such Sources of
11 Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

12 **SECTION EIGHT. Ordinance Number 71864** is amended by reappropriating the following
13 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Sixty-Three Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Five
14 and 00/100ths Dollars (\$63,445.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Software
15 Management System. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
16 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

17 **SECTION NINE.** The newly appropriated Uses of Funds on Exhibit A are allocated to
18 reimburse the Water Division for a portion of its expenditures that were made with Water
19 Division funds between March 3, 2021 and December 31, 2024, as allowed by the ARPA
20 guidance for the revenue loss/provision of government services eligibility category. The Water
21 Division is directed to use such funds for water infrastructure replacement and repair projects.

22 **SECTION TEN.** Interdepartmental Transfers.
23 Subject to approval by the Board of Estimate & Apportionment, departments, divisions, and

1 agencies authorized to administer ARPA funds may transfer funds appropriated in any ARPA
2 appropriation ordinance to other departments, divisions, and agencies to add to existing ARPA
3 projects funded by any ARPA appropriation ordinance provided that the funds remain committed
4 to the same purpose and ARPA eligibility guidelines are satisfied. Upon approval of such
5 transfer requests by the Board of Estimate & Apportionment, the Comptroller is authorized and
6 directed to transfer any unencumbered appropriation balance or portion thereof from one project
7 to another.

8 **SECTION ELEVEN. Emergency Clause.**

9 This ordinance being deemed necessary for the
10 immediate protection of the public health and welfare, it is hereby declared to be an emergency
11 ordinance within the meaning of Sections 19 and 20 of Article IV of the City Charter and shall
12 take effect upon its approval by the Mayor.

**Board Bill Number 161
Exhibit A**

Exhibit A				
Sources of Funds				
	Ordinance	Department	Appropriation Use	Funds for Reappropriation
	71393	Human Services	Case Management and Program Operation	\$100,220.00
	71393	Human Services	Mortgage Assistance	\$377,882.84
	71393	Human Services	Emergency Shelter	\$25,000.00
	71393	Human Services	Wrap Around Services	\$29.70
	71393	Human Services	Targeted Cash Assistance	\$14,573.72
	71393	Human Services	Direct Support Care Workers	\$690,436.71
	71393	Health	Community Health Workers	\$79.24
	71393	Health	Mobile Vaccination Clinics	\$6,300.00
	71393	Health	Vaccination Education and Marketing	\$0.68
	71393	Violence Prevention	Behavioral Health Providers	\$262,676.62
	71393	SLATE	Chld Support Arrears for Individuals Entering the Workforce	\$44,385.00
	71554	Health	Reproductive Equity Fund: Community Needs	\$0.70
	71555	Violence Prevention	Summer and Year Round Youth Programming	\$680,000.00
	71591	Violence Prevention	Youth and Juvenile Diversion Programming	\$960,000.00
	71591	Health	Behavioral Health	\$113,858.36
	71591	CDA	Early Childhood Education	\$445,000.00
	71591	Health	Animal Care and Control	\$7,135.42
	71592	Building	Privately-owned property stabilization	\$390,000.00
	71592	CDA	Affordable Housing Production and Preservation	\$335,238.82
	71592	CDA	Proactive Development Fund, LRA Development Fund and Preservation of Historic Neighborhoods	\$437,994.00
	71592	CDA	Neighborhood Beautification, Capacity Building, Operations, Support for CDCs	\$857,592.67
	71592	Violence Prevention	Pell Grant Eligible College Student Assistance	\$200,000.00
	71650	ITSA	Workplace Modernization and Enhancements	\$60,181.00
	71650	Streets	Refuse Pilot Project	\$784,430.00
	71840	Human Services	Impacted Tenants Fund	\$10,000.00
	71864	City Counselor	Software Management System	\$63,445.00
			TOTAL	\$6,866,460.48
Uses of Funds				
		Water	Water Infrastructure Replacement and Repair	\$6,866,460.48

BOARD BILL NUMBER 161

FISCAL NOTE

Board Bill No. 161

Preparer's Name Cheryl Campbell

Phone Number or Email Address (will be available publicly) campbellch@stlouis-mo.gov

Bill Sponsor Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer

Bill Synopsis:	<i>This ordinance, recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment, amends multiple previously adopted ARPA appropriation ordinances by reappropriating an aggregate amount of \$6,866,460.48 of unspent American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF). The funds are redirected to reimburse the Water Division for eligible expenditures incurred between March 3, 2021 and December 31, 2024 and to support water infrastructure replacement and repair. The ordinance also authorizes interdepartmental transfers of ARPA funds subject to approval and contains an emergency clause.</i>
Type of Impact:	<i>Reappropriation of previously authorized federal funds; administrative and accounting impact.</i>
Agencies Affected:	<i>Water Division; Comptroller; Departments, divisions, and agencies administering ARPA funds; Board of Estimate and Apportionment.</i>

SECTION A

Does this bill authorize:

- An expansion of services which entails additional costs beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? Yes No
- An undertaking of a new service for which no funding is provided in the current adopted city budget? Yes No
- A commitment of city funding in the future under certain specified conditions? Yes No

(01/2017)

- An issuance of bonds, notes and lease-purchase agreements which may require additional funding beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? ___Yes ___XNo
- An execution or initiation of an activity as a result of federal or state mandates or requirements? ___Yes ___XNo
- A capital improvement project that increases operating costs over the current adopted city budget? ___Yes ___XNo
- A capital improvement project that requires funding not approved in the current adopted city budget or that will require funding in future years? ___Yes ___XNo

If the answer is yes to any of the above questions, then a fiscal note must be attached to the board bill. Complete Section B of the form below.

SECTION B

- Does the bill require the construction of any new physical facilities? ___Yes ___XNo

- If yes, describe the facilities and provide the estimated cost:

- Is the bill estimated to have a direct fiscal impact on any city department or office? ___X___Yes ___No

- If yes, explain the impact and the estimated cost:

The ordinance reallocates previously appropriated ARPA funds to reimburse the Water Division for eligible expenditures and to support water infrastructure replacement and repair. Administrative and accounting activity related to fund transfers and reimbursement processing will occur; however, the ordinance does not specify departmental administrative costs or project-level expenditures. Estimated costs cannot be determined from the bill text.

- Does the bill create a program or administrative subdivision? ___Yes ___XNo

- If yes, then is there a similar existing program or administrative subdivision? ___Yes ___No

- If yes, explain the how the proposed programs or administrative subdivisions may overlap:

- Describe the annual operating, equipment, and maintenance costs that would result from the proposed bill, as well as any funding sources:

The ordinance does not establish new programs or facilities generating identifiable ongoing operating, equipment, or maintenance costs. Funding consists of reappropriated federal ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) redirected toward reimbursement and infrastructure repair. Any resulting operational impacts are not quantified in the ordinance and cannot be estimated.

Complete the chart below to list the total estimated expenditures required of the City resulting from the proposed board bill and any estimated savings or additional revenue.

Financial Estimate of Impact on General Fund			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0
Additional Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Estimate of Impact on Special Funds			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Additional Revenue	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Net	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>

- Describe any assumptions used in preparing this fiscal note:

This fiscal note was prepared based on review of the language contained within the Board Bill. The analysis assumes reallocation of previously appropriated federal ARPA funds without authorization of new City funding. Implementation is assumed to occur within existing administrative capacity. No project schedules, expenditure timing details, or departmental cost estimates were provided; therefore, impacts beyond reassignment of appropriations cannot be quantified.

- List any sources of information (including any City officials, agencies, or departments) used in preparing this fiscal note:

*Board Bill language;
Referenced ARPA appropriation ordinances identified within the bill.*

- Have the financial estimates of this bill been verified by the City Budget Division? Yes No
 - If yes, by whom? _____ .

Summary
Board Bill Number 161
Committee Substitute
Introduced By Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer
February 13, 2026

An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending certain ordinances by reappropriating certain amounts specified herein in an aggregate amount of **\$6,744,594.55** for water infrastructure replacement and repair; and with an emergency clause.

**BOARD BILL NUMBER 161 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE INTRODUCED BY
ALDERWOMAN ANNE SCHWEITZER
COSPONSORS: ALDERMAN MICHAEL BROWNING**

1 An Ordinance recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment amending Ordinance
2 Number 71393, Ordinance Number 71494, Ordinance Number 71554, Ordinance Number
3 71555, Ordinance Number 71591, Ordinance Number 71592, Ordinance Number 71650,
4 Ordinance Number 71840, and Ordinance Number 71864 by reappropriating certain amounts
5 specified herein in an aggregate amount of **\$6,744,594.55** for water infrastructure replacement
6 and repair; and with an emergency clause.

7 **WHEREAS**, the City appropriated American Rescue Plan Act (“ARPA”) State and Local Fiscal
8 Relief (“SLFRF”) funds in several ordinances including Ordinance Number 71393, Ordinance
9 71554, Ordinance Number 71555, Ordinance Number 71591, Ordinance Number 71592,
10 Ordinance Number 71650, Ordinance Number 71840, and Ordinance Number 71864; and

11 **WHEREAS**, some of those funds have not been spent, and the City seeks to use them for
12 different purposes.

13 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

14 **SECTION ONE. Ordinance Number 71393** is amended by reappropriating the following
15 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: One Hundred Thousand Two Hundred Twenty
16 and 0/100ths Dollars (\$100,220.00) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for
17 Case Management and Program Operations; Three Hundred Seventy-Seven Thousand Eight
18 Hundred Eighty-Two and 84/100ths Dollars (\$377,882.84) of the funds that were appropriated in
19 that ordinance for Mortgage Assistance; Twenty-Five Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$25,000)
20 of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Emergency Shelter; Twenty-Nine and

1 70/100ths Dollars (\$29.70) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Wrap
2 Around Services; Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-Three and 72/100ths Dollars
3 (\$14,573.72) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Targeted Cash Assistance;
4 Six Hundred Ninety Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Six and 71/100ths Dollars (\$690,436.71) of
5 the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Direct Support Care Workers; Seventy-
6 Nine and 24/100ths Dollars (\$79.24) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for
7 Community Health Workers; Six Thousand Three Hundred and 00/100ths Dollars (\$6,300.00) of
8 the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Mobile Vaccination Clinics; 68/100ths
9 Dollars (\$.68) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Vaccination Education
10 and Marketing; Two Hundred Sixty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Six and 62/100ths
11 (\$262,676.62) of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Behavioral Health
12 Providers; Forty-Four Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-Five and 00/100ths Dollars (\$44,385.00)
13 of the funds that were appropriated in that ordinance for Child Support Arrears for Individuals
14 Entering the Workforce; Five Thousand Three Hundred Thirty-Four and 15/100ths Dollars
15 (\$5,344.15) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Intentional Encampments; Twenty-
16 One Thousand Three Hundred Seventeen and 92/100ths Dollars (\$21,317.92) of the funds that
17 were appropriated in that ordinance for Expanding Broadband and Public WiFi; and Three
18 Hundred Forty-Five and 51/100ths Dollars (\$345.51) that were appropriated in that ordinance for
19 Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Operational Funds. All of such Sources of Funds hereby
20 are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on Exhibit A.

21 **SECTION TWO. Ordinance Number 71554** is amended by reappropriating the following
22 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds 70/100ths (\$.70) of the funds appropriated in that

1 ordinance for the Reproductive Equity Fund-Community Needs. All of such Sources of Funds
2 hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on Exhibit A.

3 **SECTION THREE. Ordinance Number 71555** is amended by reappropriating the following
4 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Six Hundred Eighty Thousand and 00/100ths
5 Dollars (\$680,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Summer and Year Round
6 Youth Programming. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
7 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A**.

8 **SECTION FOUR. Ordinance Number 71591** is amended by reappropriating the following
9 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Nine Hundred Sixty Thousand and 00/100ths
10 (\$960,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Youth and Juvenile Diversion
11 Programming; One Hundred Thirteen Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-Eight and 36/100ths
12 Dollars (\$113,858.36) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Behavioral Health; Four
13 Hundred Forty-Five Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$445,000.00) of the funds appropriated in
14 that ordinance for Early Childhood Education; Eleven Thousand Two Hundred Fifteen and
15 16/100ths Dollars (\$11,215.16) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Guaranteed Basic
16 Income; and Seven Thousand One Hundred Thirty-Five and 42/100ths Dollars (\$7,135.42) of the
17 funds appropriated in that ordinance for Animal Care and Control. All of such Sources of Funds
18 hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A**.

19 **SECTION FIVE. Ordinance Number 71592** is amended by reappropriating the following
20 amount from the specified Sources of Funds: Two Thousand Ninety-Eight and 28/100ths
21 Dollars (\$2,098.28) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Real Estate Tax Relief
22 Project; Three Hundred Ninety Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$390,000.00) of the funds

1 appropriated in that ordinance for Privately-owned Property Stabilization; Three Hundred Thirty-
2 Five Thousand Two Hundred Thirty-Eight and 82/100ths Dollars (\$335,238.82) of the funds
3 appropriated in that ordinance for Affordable Housing Production and Preservation; Four
4 Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Four and 00/100ths Dollars
5 (\$437,994.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for the Proactive Development Fund;
6 Eight Hundred Fifty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-Two and 67/100ths Dollars
7 (\$857,592.67) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Neighborhood Beautification; and
8 Two Hundred Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$200,000.00) of the funds appropriated in that
9 ordinance for Pell Grant Eligible College Student Assistance. All of such Sources of Funds
10 hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A**.

11 **SECTION SIX. Ordinance Number 71650** is amended by reappropriating the following
12 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Sixty Thousand One Hundred Eighty-One and
13 00/100ths Dollars (\$60,181.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Workplace
14 Modernization and Enhancements; and Seven Hundred Eighty-Four Thousand Four Hundred
15 Thirty and 00/100ths Dollars (\$784,430.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for
16 Refuse Pilot Project. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
17 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A**.

18 **SECTION SEVEN. Ordinance Number 71840** is amended by reappropriating the following
19 amounts from the specified Source of Funds: Ten Thousand and 00/100ths Dollars (\$10,000) of
20 the funds appropriated in that ordinance for the Impacted Tenants Fund. All of such Sources of
21 Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A**.

22 **SECTION EIGHT. Ordinance Number 71864** is amended by reappropriating the following

1 amounts from the specified Sources of Funds: Sixty-Three Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Five
2 and 00/100ths Dollars (\$63,445.00) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Software
3 Management System. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the
4 Uses of Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

5 **SECTION NINE. Ordinance Number 71494 is amended by reappropriating the following**
6 **amounts from the specified Sources of Funds:** Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-Six and
7 41/100ths (\$3,766.41) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Pool Renovations; Thirteen
8 Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-Eight and 00/100ths Dollars (\$13,378.00) of the funds
9 appropriated in that ordinance for a St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department match for the
10 Police Foundation; 11/100ths Dollars (\$.11) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for
11 Carnahan Courthouse Air Handlers; and Forty-Four Thousand Four Hundred Ninety-Seven and
12 53/100ths Dollars (\$44,497.53) of the funds appropriated in that ordinance for Central Industrial
13 Roof Repairs. All of such Sources of Funds hereby are appropriated and set apart for the Uses of
14 Funds shown on **Exhibit A.**

15 **SECTION TEN.** The newly appropriated Uses of Funds on Exhibit A are allocated to
16 reimburse the Water Division for a portion of its expenditures that were made with Water
17 Division funds between March 3, 2021 and December 31, 2024, as allowed by the ARPA
18 guidance for the revenue loss/provision of government services eligibility category. The Water
19 Division is directed to use such funds for water infrastructure replacement and repair projects.

20 **SECTION ELEVEN.** Interdepartmental Transfers.
21 Subject to approval by the Board of Estimate & Apportionment, departments, divisions, and
22 agencies authorized to administer ARPA funds may transfer funds appropriated in any ARPA

1 appropriation ordinance to other departments, divisions, and agencies to add to existing ARPA
2 projects funded by any ARPA appropriation ordinance provided that the funds remain committed
3 to the same purpose and ARPA eligibility guidelines are satisfied. Upon approval of such
4 transfer requests by the Board of Estimate & Apportionment, the Comptroller is authorized and
5 directed to transfer any unencumbered appropriation balance or portion thereof from one project
6 to another.

7 **SECTION TWELVE.** Emergency Clause.

8 This ordinance being deemed necessary for the immediate protection of the public health and
9 welfare, it is hereby declared to be an emergency
10 ordinance within the meaning of Sections 19 and 20 of Article IV of the City Charter and shall
11 take effect upon its approval by the Mayor.

**Board Bill Number 161
Committee Substitute
Exhibit A**

Ordinance	Department	Appropriation Use	Funds for Reappropriation
71393	Human Services	Case Management and Program Operation	\$100,220.00
71393	Human Services	Mortgage Assistance	\$377,882.84
71393	Human Services	Emergency Shelter	\$25,000.00
71393	Human Services	Wrap Around Services	\$29.70
71393	Human Services	Targeted Cash Assistance	\$14,573.72
71393	Human Services	Direct Support Care Workers	\$690,436.71
71393	Health	Community Health Workers	\$79.24
71393	Health	Mobile Vaccination Clinics	\$6,300.00
71393	Health	Vaccination Education and Marketing	\$0.68
71393	Violence Prevention	Behavioral Health Providers	\$262,676.62
71393	SLATE	Child Support Arrears for Individuals Entering the Workforce	\$44,385.00
71393	BPS	Intentional Encampments (Safe Outdoor Spaces)	\$5,334.15
71393	BPS	Expanding Broadband & Public Wifi	\$21,317.92
71393	BPS	CJCC Operational Funds	\$345.51
71494	BPS	Pool Renovations	\$3,766.41
71494	BPS	SLMPD Match for Police Foundation	\$13,378.00

71494	BPS	Carnahan Courthouse Air Handlers	\$0.11
71494	BPS	Central Industrial Roof Repairs	\$44,497.53
71554	Health	Reproductive Equity Fund: Community Needs	\$0.70
71555	Violence Prevention	Summer and Year Round Youth Programming	\$680,000.00
71591	Violence Prevention	Youth and Juvenile Diversion Programming	\$960,000.00
71591	Health	Behavioral Health	\$113,858.36
71591	CDA	Early Childhood Education	\$445,000.00
71591	Health	Animal Care and Control	\$7,135.42
71591	Treasurer	Guaranteed Basic Income	\$11,215.16
71592	Building	Privately-owned property stabilization	\$390,000.00
71592	CDA	Affordable Housing Production and Preservation	\$335,238.82
71592	CDA	Proactive Development Fund, LRA Development Fund and Preservation of Historic Neighborhoods	\$437,994.00
71592	CDA	Neighborhood Beautification, Capacity Building, Operations, Support for CDCs	\$633,781.67
71592	Violence Prevention	Pell Grant Eligible College Student Assistance	\$200,000.00
71592	Human Services	Real Estate Tax Relief Project	\$2,090.28
71650	ITSA	Workplace Modernization and Enhancements	\$60,181.00
71650	Streets	Refuse Pilot Project	\$784,430.00

71840	Human Services	Impacted Tenants Fund	\$10,000.00
71864	City Counselor	Software Management System	\$63,445.00
		TOTAL	\$6,744,594.55
			Reappropriation
	Water	Water Infrastructure Replacement and Repair	\$6,744,594.55

BOARD BILL FISCAL NOTE

Board Bill No. 161CS

Preparer's Name Cheryl Campbell

Phone Number or Email Address (will be available publicly) campbellch@stlouis-mo.gov

Bill Sponsor Alderwoman Anne Schweitzer

Bill Synopsis:	<i>This ordinance, recommended by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment, amends multiple previously adopted ARPA appropriation ordinances by reappropriating an aggregate amount of \$6,866,460.48 of unspent American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF). The funds are redirected to reimburse the Water Division for eligible expenditures incurred between March 3, 2021 and December 31, 2024 and to support water infrastructure replacement and repair. The ordinance also authorizes interdepartmental transfers of ARPA funds subject to approval and contains an emergency clause.</i>
Type of Impact:	<i>Reappropriation of previously authorized federal funds; administrative and accounting impact.</i>
Agencies Affected:	<i>Water Division; Comptroller; Departments, divisions, and agencies administering ARPA funds; Board of Estimate and Apportionment.</i>

SECTION A

Does this bill authorize:

- An expansion of services which entails additional costs beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- An undertaking of a new service for which no funding is provided in the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- A commitment of city funding in the future under certain specified conditions? ___ Yes ___ X No

- An issuance of bonds, notes and lease-purchase agreements which may require additional funding beyond that approved in the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- An execution or initiation of an activity as a result of federal or state mandates or requirements? ___ Yes ___ X No
- A capital improvement project that increases operating costs over the current adopted city budget? ___ Yes ___ X No
- A capital improvement project that requires funding not approved in the current adopted city budget or that will require funding in future years? ___ Yes ___ X No

If the answer is yes to any of the above questions, then a fiscal note must be attached to the board bill. Complete Section B of the form below.

SECTION B

- Does the bill require the construction of any new physical facilities? ___ Yes ___ X No

- If yes, describe the facilities and provide the estimated cost:

- Is the bill estimated to have a direct fiscal impact on any city department or office? ___ X Yes ___ No

- If yes, explain the impact and the estimated cost:

The ordinance reallocates previously appropriated ARPA funds to reimburse the Water Division for eligible expenditures and to support water infrastructure replacement and repair. Administrative and accounting activity related to fund transfers and reimbursement processing will occur; however, the ordinance does not specify departmental administrative costs or project-level expenditures. Estimated costs cannot be determined from the bill text.

- Does the bill create a program or administrative subdivision? ___ Yes ___ X No

- If yes, then is there a similar existing program or administrative subdivision? ___ Yes ___ No

- If yes, explain the how the proposed programs or administrative subdivisions may overlap:

- Describe the annual operating, equipment, and maintenance costs that would result from the proposed bill, as well as any funding sources:

The ordinance does not establish new programs or facilities generating identifiable ongoing operating, equipment, or maintenance costs. Funding consists of reappropriated federal ARPA State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) redirected toward reimbursement and infrastructure repair. Any resulting operational impacts are not quantified in the ordinance and cannot be estimated.

Complete the chart below to list the total estimated expenditures required of the City resulting from the proposed board bill and any estimated savings or additional revenue.

Financial Estimate of Impact on General Fund			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0
Additional Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Estimate of Impact on Special Funds			
Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1 (current)</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
Additional Expenditures	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Additional Revenue	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>
Net	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>	<i>Indeterminate</i>

- Describe any assumptions used in preparing this fiscal note:

This fiscal note was prepared based on review of the language contained within the Board Bill. The analysis assumes reallocation of previously appropriated federal ARPA funds without authorization of new City funding. The ordinance includes detailed reallocations identified in Exhibit A, which were considered in aggregate and do not alter the determination that the measure represents reassignment of existing federal funds. Implementation is assumed to occur within existing administrative capacity. No project schedules, expenditure timing details, or departmental cost estimates were provided; therefore, impacts beyond reassignment of appropriations cannot be quantified.

- List any sources of information (including any City officials, agencies, or departments) used in preparing this fiscal note:

Board Bill language;

Exhibit A (Uses of Funds);

Referenced ARPA appropriation ordinances identified within the bill.

- Have the financial estimates of this bill been verified by the City Budget Division?
 Yes No

○ If yes, by whom? _____ .

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

SUMMARY:

The City of St. Louis received about \$498 million in American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) State and Local and Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) in June 2021 and June 2022. Board Bill 161 reappropriates **\$6,744,594.55** of ARPA SLFRF to the Water Division for water infrastructure replacement and repair.

Fund sources were identified through conversations with departments about: remaining funds that will not be able to be expended by the Treasury deadline, remaining funds from programs that have ended; and funds not needed for original intended uses. The ARPA Compliance Team also examined the legal restrictions set by appropriation language and Treasury rules. Treasury requires ARPA funds to be expended by December 31, 2026, and the City has set an internal deadline of September 30, 2026 for program operations so that the City has time to receive and process invoices before the end of the year.

SUPPORTIVE INFORMATION ON REAPPROPRIATED FUNDS:

Department of Human Services (\$1,218,142.97):

Case Management and Program Operation - \$100,220.00

This contract was with United Way of Greater St. Louis. It was increased based on United Way's request but now they no longer need the additional funds.

Mortgage Assistance - \$377,882.84

Three providers operated this program for 2 years, and there just were not enough homeowners that met the qualifications to completely spend down the full allocation. There were several months of not finding applicants that met all of the qualifications for the assistance.

Emergency Shelter - \$25,000.00

These funds were returned by a contractor (JPAM) after an internal audit discovered a double payment in February 2023. The project had already ended.

Wrap Around Services - \$29.70

This is from the Tolemi contract. For some reason, the Oracle budget was \$64,029.70, but the contract was only for \$64,000.

Targeted Cash Assistance - \$14,573.72

These funds were returned to DHS by the United Way due to debit cards that had not been activated by recipients for a variety of reasons. The project has concluded.

Direct Support Care Workers - \$690,436.71

The Department of Human Services created a new program called Project R&R, which was an Employee Retention and Recruitment incentive project for Direct Care In Home Services Workers who provide in-home services to patients and individuals who were

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

employed by nonprofit and for-profit in-home care services agencies & companies. DHS and the provider, FORWARD, sent letters to in-home services agencies to explain how the program would benefit the employees and help the employers to retain their staff, held training sessions both in-person and virtually, and sent out media blasts to publicize the program. In spite of these efforts, the funds have not been fully utilized and the FORWARD does not plan to utilize the remaining funds. The program was active for 3 years.

Impacted Tenants Fund - \$10,000

The administrator for the project, Employment Connections, indicated that they would not be able to spend down the full administrative expenses in time.

Real Estate Property Tax Assistance - \$2,090.28

The administrator for the project has informed DHS it would not be able to spend down these administrative funds in the contract budget.

Department of Health (\$127,374.40):

Community Health Workers - \$79.24

The project is complete, this is the remaining funds.

Mobile Vaccination Clinics - \$6,300.00

The budget for this project was projected for 2 per performance staff, but only one person was ever hired.

Vaccination Education and Marketing - \$0.68

The project is complete, this is the remaining funds.

Reproductive Equity Fund: Community Needs - \$0.70

The project is complete, this is the remaining funds.

Behavioral Health - \$113,858.36

Both of the projects under this fund were contracted lower than the original budget. Both subrecipients confirmed that they have sufficient funds that they will spend down excluding these excess funds.

Animal Care and Control - \$7,135.42

Based on remaining supplies, these funds are not needed.

DPS Office of Violence Prevention (\$2,102,676.62):

Behavioral Health Providers - \$262,676.62

The project is complete. OVP does not have an active project in this category.

Summer and Year Round Youth Programming - \$680,000.00

- Urban League: The program has had significant issues putting in invoices and are forecasted to have money left on the contract. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$70,000.00

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

- Northside Youth and Senior Service Center: The program just started reaching their numbers and became fully staffed. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$80,000.00
- Guardian Angel: Have had issues getting up to full staffing and still are not up to capacity. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$70,000.00
- Safe Streets Safe Neighborhoods: The staff is smaller than expected and were able to use other funding sources that are not invoice based. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$200,000.00
- Washington University: This program only became fully staffed at the end of 2025/2026 and will be unable to use the entirety of the funds. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$120,000.00
- Connections to Success: This program has met their goals while running under budget. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$140,000.00

Youth and Juvenile Diversion Programming - \$960,000.00

- Youth in Need: This program requires referrals from another program and has not had enough clients to use all the funding. This is the known funding that will not be used - \$40,000.00
- Covenant House: This program completely shut down for a period of six months partially due to the lack of buy in with partners and lack of staff; It has since been restarted but will not be able to use all of the funds - \$370,000.00
- Youth Advocate Programs: The program over estimated the budget needed and has been able to successfully fulfill the program's need with less funding - \$550,000.00

Pell Grant Eligible College Student Assistance - \$200,000.00

Two of the Universities have not used the allotted assistance due to lack of staff.

CDA (\$1,852,014.49):

Early Childhood Education - \$445,000.00

This provider of Early Childhood service indicated to us in late 2025 they were not going to be able to spend the entirety of their \$570,000 award. Through subsequent discussions, it was determined the entity would be able to expend \$125,000 of the award by the ARPA deadline, and it was agreed CDA would repurpose the remainder.

Affordable Housing Production and Preservation - \$335,238.82

- Horizon Housing agreed to voluntarily rescind their \$200,000 ARPA due to fire damage to the subject property 4400 W. Florissant units A,C and E, and tornado damage to the subject property 4408 W. Florissant unit B.
- Horizon Housing also indicated they would be withdrawing their pursuit of remaining ARPA funds for additional work on vacant unit turns at its Gravois location - \$135,238.82.

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

Proactive Development Fund, LRA Development Fund, and Preservation of Historic Neighborhoods - \$437,994.00

- The Urban League Village proposed site was damaged by the May 2025 tornado and construction did not proceed - \$348,000
- St. Joseph Housing Initiative - this project did not proceed. An initial advance was returned to us by SJHI- \$89,994

Neighborhood Beautification, Capacity Building, Operations, Support for CDCs - \$633,781.67 -

- SLACO - ARPA award was for \$ 479,297. No monies have been spent and concerns exist over the program. SLACO was advanced \$119,824.25 which, although demanded, has not been returned. The amount, excluding the advance, \$359,472.75 is sought to be repurposed.
- North Newstead Association (neighborhood beatification) - NNA has informed CDA that it cannot carry out this project and has agreed to return the \$274,308.92 in unspent ARPA funds.

Board of Public Service (\$88,639.63):

Intentional Encampments (Safe Outdoor Spaces) - \$5,334.15

These funds were not allocated for a specific encampment location; these are residual funds.

Expanding Broadband & Public Wifi - \$21,317.92

The project came in under the estimated budgeted cost; these are the remaining funds.

CJCC Operational Funds - \$345.51

This program utilized all available funding. When it ended, this funding was residual.

Recreation Center Pool Renovation 2022 - \$3,766.41

The project came in under the estimated budgeted cost; these are the remaining funds.

SLMPD Match for Police Foundation - \$13,378.00

The project came in under the estimated budgeted cost; these are the remaining funds.

Central Industrial Roof Repairs - \$44,497.53

The project came in under the estimated budgeted cost; these are the remaining funds.

Carnahan Courthouse Air Handlers \$0.11

The project came in under the estimated budgeted cost; these are the remaining funds.

Building:

Privately-owned Property Stabilization - \$390,000.00

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

A contract was entered into in June 2024 and a purchase order was created for stabilization of a structure on Halls Ferry Road prior to the termination of this program. No invoices were ever submitted, and the deadline for the work has passed.

ITSA:

Workplace Modernization and Enhancements - \$60,181.00

The project has concluded. The funds were allocated based on a time estimate of the consultant. The projects concluded under the estimate, so full encumbrance was not needed.

Streets:

Refuse Pilot Project - \$784,430.00

\$1,000,000 was appropriated. A contract was entered into for approximately \$216,000. The purchase order has \$784,430 remaining. No contract was entered into for the remainder of the purchase order, and the funds cannot be used within the current appropriation purpose by the end of this year.

City Counselor:

Software Management System - \$63,445.00

The City Counselor's Office entered into a contract in 2024 for a case management software system. Implementation has been slower than predicted, and expenses have been lower than expected. The Office decided it needed to switch to a different vendor. The Office will not be able to utilize the full amount of this appropriation before the ARPA deadline.

SLATE:

Child Support Arrears for Individuals Entering the Workforce - \$44,385.00

The project is completed, this is the remaining funds.

Treasurer:

Guaranteed Basic Income - \$11,215.61

In a lawsuit against the City, the court enjoined the City from making further guaranteed income payments but allowed administrative expenses. Approximately \$2.5 million was reappropriated in Ordinance 71936. The Treasurer's Office retained funding for administration to wind down the program and to pay Washington University for its analysis of the program. Washington University billed less than the full contractual amount leaving the City with the leftover amount of \$11,215.61. Wash U confirmed the last invoice in February as being the final invoice.

BB 161 (SCHWEITZER)
February 2026 ARPA Reappropriation
Fact Sheet

PROPOSED USE:

All funds will be reappropriated for use by the Water Division. These funds will be used in three different buckets outlined below. The City is allowed to reclassify the funds as revenue replacement for expenditures completed by the Water Division before the end of 2024. The Water Division has proposed the following repair projects using these funds:

Urgent

The following are the urgent projects to be completed with these funds:

- Weber Road (Morganford to Weimar) and Weber Road (Ketmore to Weimar) - Approximately 1000 feet of 12-inch, 500 feet of 6-inch
- Keokuk (Alexander to Bamberger) and Alexander (Keokuk to Meramec) -Approximately 450 feet of 8-inch, 1250 feet of 6-inch
- Semple Ave (Bircher to Railroad) - Approximately 650 feet of 10-inch, 750 feet of 8-inch

Valve Replacements

These are broken valves in the distribution system that need to be replaced. There is an attached map with needed valve replacements identified. The Water Division has an BPS Emergency approval to do this work as soon as this board bill is passed.

Howard Bend Plant

Electrical project to replace critical 480 volt power distribution equipment at our chemical feed building (Coagulant House) that has become unreliable.